Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/700,751	FISHMAN, PNINA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patrick T. Lewis	1623
All Participants: Status of Application: Restricted		
(1) <u>Patrick T. Lewis</u> .	(3)	
(2) Roger Browdy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 February 2005	Time: 2:30 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None	·	
Claims discussed: 10, 12, 15-18, 41, 42 and 44-80		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Makeu Ser	(A	anahura if annuminta
(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Browdy that a supplemental restriction requirement was being set forth. As pointed out by Mr. Browdy in the Response dated January 12, 2004, claims 10, 12 and 15-18 relate to induction of G-CSF production, while the remaining claims relate to inhibition of abnormal cell growth and/or treatment of tumors..